UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/740,035 12/18/2003		Simon Wilson	884A.0031.U1(US)	2504	
29683 HARRINGTO	7590 01/24/2007 N & SMITH, PC	EXAMINER			
4 RESEARCH DRIVE			DAVIS, ROBERT B		
SHELTON, CT	1 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1722		
					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/24/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Δι	oplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			•					
			0/740,035 	WILSON ET AL.				
	cincertonen cummu,		caminer	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		obert B. Davis	vith the correspondence add	dress			
Period fo		iicauoii appeai	s on the cover sheet w	nui uie correspondence add	# C33			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN. In no event, however, may a oply and will expire SIX (6) MOse the application to become A	ICATION. In reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this contable of the contable				
Status		•						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 16 Octob	per 2006.					
	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex p</i>	arte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
·		nding in the an	olication					
) Claim(s) 15-28,41 and 42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>15-17, 19-28, 41 and 42</u> is.	/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to.	-						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				•			
_	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
۔ ۵٫۵	Applicant may not request that any obje			•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to				• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
۵,,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ve been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority			Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies			· ·	Stage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).		_			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of th	ne certified copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	- •				

Application/Control Number: 10/740,035 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 17 and 42 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Wood and Davis et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 15-17 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wood (2,304,984: figures 1-3).

Wood teaches a multi-portion body (12, 13, 16, 17) defining a mold void (26) and at least one conduit (27) for injecting material into the mold void and a plurality of clamping members (14, 15) for clamping a preform (10) within the mold void. The clamping members define a shut-off volume (28) that the injected resin does not enter. The final article is shown in figure 3. The top-molding member (12) is resiliently biased towards the preform by a spring (19). The clamping members (14, 15) are continuous. The language regarding the label and the clamping at its perimeter is intended use. The mold of Wood is clearly capable of clamping a label. The perimeter clamping is not a function of a structure of the mold, but rather the relative size of the label. This has no patentable weight, as applicant is not positively reciting the label as a structural element.

AR OIII. 1722

4. Claims 15-17, 19-21, 24, 25, 28, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davis et al (5,897,823: figures 3 and 6).

Davis et al teach a an upper mold (56) and a lower mold (62) defining a mold void (72, 82), an injection passage (68) for injecting plastic material into the mold void or cavity, and a plurality of clamping members (70, 30) for clamping a preform (34) within the mold such that the inner portion of the mold relative to the clamping members are free of injected resin. The clamping members are continuous. The language regarding the label and the clamping at its perimeter is intended use. The mold of Davis et al is clearly capable of clamping a label. The perimeter clamping is not a function of a structure of the mold, but rather the relative size of the label. This has no patentable weight, as applicant is not positively reciting the label as a structural element.

5. Claims 15, 16, 19-21, 24, 27, 28 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Byrne (4,162,138: figures 1 and 2).

Byrne teaches an apparatus for injection molding a composite article comprising: opposing mold members (12 and 16) defining a mold cavity (17), a plurality of clamping members (14, 24) for clamping a preform (19) within the mold cavity, and an injection opening (13) for injecting material into the molding cavity. The language regarding the label and the clamping at its perimeter is intended use. The mold of Byrne is clearly capable of clamping a label. The perimeter clamping is not a function of a structure of the mold, but rather the relative size of the label. This has no patentable weight, as applicant is not positively reciting the label as a structural element.

Application/Control Number: 10/740,035 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In regards to claim 18, none of the prior art of record teach or suggest the apparatus of claim 17, wherein the shut-off volume is sized to house a lanyard or eyelet attached to the label.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-28, 41 and 42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining references disclose the state of the art of clamping members for preforms in a mold.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/740,035 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert B. Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

1/22/07